

EXHIBIT A

Yahoo! My Yahoo! Mail

Search: Web Search **YAHOO! FINANCE**[Sign In](#)
New User? [Sign Up](#)[Finance Home](#) - [Help](#)Welcome [\[Sign In\]](#)To track stocks & more, [Register](#)**Financial News**Enter symbol(s) Basic [Symbol Lookup](#)

Press Release

Source: Kahn Gauthier Swick, LLC

INVESTOR ALERT: KGS Announces Initial Filing of Securities Class Action Lawsuit Against BigBand Networks, Inc. - BBND

Thursday October 4, 12:11 am ET

NEW ORLEANS, LA--(MARKET WIRE)--Oct 4, 2007 -- Kahn Gauthier Swick, LLC ("KGS") has filed the first class action lawsuit against BigBand Networks, Inc. ("BigBand" or the "Company") (NasdaqGM:BBND - [News](#)) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, on behalf of shareholders who purchased the common stock of BigBand in connection with the Company's Initial Public Offering ("IPO") on or about March 15, 2007, or who purchased shares thereafter in the open market. No class has yet been certified in this action.

UNLESS A CLASS IS CERTIFIED, YOU ARE NOT PERSONALLY REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL UNLESS YOU RETAIN AN ATTORNEY.

BigBand, certain of its officers and directors, and the Company's underwriters -- collectively, "defendants" -- are charged with including, or allowing the inclusion of, materially false and misleading statements in the Registration Statement and Prospectus issued in connection with the IPO, in violation of the Securities Act of 1933.

The Complaint charges that BigBand raised over \$159 million through the sale of 10.7 million shares, despite the Registration Statement's false and misleading statements issued in connection with the IPO. Specifically, the Complaint charges defendants with failing to adequately conduct a due diligence investigation into the Company prior to the IPO, and that they failed to reveal BigBand was not performing according to plan, lacked significant controls and procedures, and lacked any reasonable basis to forecast near-term foreseeable financial and operational results.

The Complaint further alleges that defendants failed to reveal the Company's results were already underperforming expectations at the time of the IPO, and that they had boosted the financial results of the quarter immediately prior to the offering by loading customers with unnecessary inventory -- such that sales in future quarters would foreseeably be adversely impacted as customers "worked off" excess inventory prior to purchasing more of BigBand's products. Additionally, the Complaint asserts that defendants failed to disclose that the roll out of its new products was not proceeding according to its growth plan, and that this transition too was adversely impacting revenues in the near-term.

On September 28, 2007, BigBand shares fell over 30% in a single trading day -- falling from over \$9.00 per share to below \$6.00 per share, before closing at \$6.49 on exceptionally heavy trading volume of almost 7 million shares. This precipitous decline occurred after the truth was revealed to investors the previous evening that the problems existing at the time of the IPO, and detailed in the Complaint, would result in extremely disappointing results for the third quarter of 2007, including substantially reduced revenues.

If you wish to serve as lead plaintiff in this class action lawsuit, you must move the Court no later than December 3, 2007. Any member of the purported class may move the Court to serve as lead plaintiff through counsel of their choice, or may choose to do nothing and remain an absent class member. If you would like to discuss your legal rights, you may e-mail or call KGS Managing Partner Lewis Kahn, without obligation or cost to you, toll free 1-866-467-1400, ext. 100, via cell phone 504-301-7900, or by email at lewis.kahn@kgsccounsel.com. To learn more about KGS, you may visit <http://www.kgsccounsel.com>. KGS focuses its practice on securities class action litigation, and has been appointed lead counsel in numerous federal securities class actions.

SPECIAL NOTICE: KGS reminds you to fully interview any other lawyer who may issue a press release in this matter to assure that he or she thoroughly understands the facts surrounding the substantive claims KGS has filed in Court. It is critically important that interested parties carefully evaluate any other firm that may be competing with KGS to prosecute the BigBand class action. Critical components of a law firm's ability to successfully prosecute this action and obtain a strong recovery for you include its knowledge of applicable federal securities laws, the resources it will dedicate to prosecution of the case (including the number of lawyers the firm has available for the BigBand class action) AND especially the quality of the firm's work.

Contact:

Contact:

Lewis Kahn
KGS

1-866-467-1400, ext. 100

email: lewis.kahn@kgsccounsel.com

Source: Kahn Gauthier Swick, LLC

EXHIBIT B

**SWORN CERTIFICATION OF PLAINTIFF SPHERA FUND
BIG BAND NETWORKS, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION**

I, Ron Senator, Managing Partner of Sphera Funds Management Ltd, on behalf of Sphera Fund, certify that:

1. Plaintiff has been advised of the Complaint filed against BIG BAND NETWORKS, INC., and certain of its officers and directors, has reviewed the complaint and consents and adopts the allegations therein.

2. Plaintiff did not purchase BIG BAND NETWORKS, INC., the security that is the subject of this action, at the direction of Plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under this title.

3. Plaintiff is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class and will testify at deposition and trial, if necessary.

4. Plaintiff's transactions in BIG BAND NETWORKS, INC. during the Class Period set forth in the Complaint are as follows:


SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE

5. Plaintiff has not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under this title during the last three years.

6. Plaintiff has not and will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party, except to receive the pro rata share of any recovery or as ordered or approved by the court including the award to a representative plaintiff of reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing are true and correct statements.

Dated: December 2, 2007



RON SENATOR

Managing Partner, Sphera Funds Management Ltd,
on behalf of Sphera Fund

EXHIBIT A**Transactions of Sphera Fund in BigBand Networks, Inc.**

TRANSACTION DATE	TRANSACTION TYPE	# OF SHARES	PRICE PER SHARE
06/28/2007	PURCHASE	57,600	\$13.9138
06/29/2007	PURCHASE	17,600	\$13.4086
08/7/2007	PURCHASE	20,700	\$9.3502
08/22/2007	SALE	-13,800	\$10.2467
08/24/2007	SALE	-17,250	\$10.0179
08/27/2007	SALE	-13,600	\$10.0034
08/28/2007	SALE	-5,241	\$10.3158
09/20/2007	SALE	-13,771	\$8.9000
09/27/2007	SALE	-32,238	\$7.1096

EXHIBIT C

Loss Chart for Sphera

Company Name: Bigband Networks, Inc.
 Ticker: BBND

Sphera Fund

Date	Shares	Price Bought	Total Bought	Price Sold	Total Sold:	Balance
6/28/2007	57,600	\$13.9138	-\$801,434.88		\$0.00	-\$801,434.88
6/29/2007	17,600	\$13.4086	-\$235,991.36		\$0.00	-\$235,991.36
8/7/2007	20,700	\$9.3502	-\$193,549.14		\$0.00	-\$193,549.14
8/22/2007	-13,800		\$0.00	\$10.2467	\$141,404.46	\$141,404.46
8/24/2007	-17,250		\$0.00	\$10.0179	\$172,808.78	\$172,808.78
8/27/2007	-13,600		\$0.00	\$10.0034	\$136,046.24	\$136,046.24
8/28/2007	-5,241		\$0.00	\$10.3158	\$54,065.11	\$54,065.11
9/20/2007	-13,771		\$0.00	\$8.9000	\$122,561.90	\$122,561.90
9/27/2007	-32,238		\$0.00	\$7.1096	\$229,199.28	\$229,199.28
Shares Remaining:	0				Subtotal:	-\$374,889.61
				Shares Remaining	Total:	-\$374,889.61
				0		

EXHIBIT D

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NEW YORK OFFICE

1501 BROADWAY
SUITE 1900
NEW YORK, NY 10036
TELEPHONE (917) 510-0009
FACSIMILE (646) 366-0895

1801 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 311
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

TELEPHONE (310) 201-9150
FACSIMILE (310) 201-9160
info@glancylaw.com

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER
SUITE 760
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
TELEPHONE (415) 972-8160
FACSIMILE (415) 972-8166

FIRM RESUME

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP has represented investors and consumers in federal and state courts throughout the United States for sixteen years. Based in Los Angeles, California and with offices in New York, New York and San Francisco, California, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has developed expertise prosecuting securities fraud, antitrust and complex commercial litigation. As Lead Counsel or as a member of Plaintiffs' Counsel Executive Committees, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has recovered in excess of \$1 billion for parties wronged by corporate fraud and malfeasance. The firm's efforts on behalf of individual investors have been the subject of articles in such publications as The Wall Street Journal, The New York Times and The Los Angeles Times.

Appointed as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel by federal judges throughout the United States, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has achieved significant recoveries for class members, including:

In re Heritage Bond Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 02-ML-1475-DT, where as Co-Lead Counsel, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg recovered in excess of \$28 million for defrauded investors and continues to pursue additional defendants.

In re ECI Telecom Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 01-913-A, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as sole Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$22 million for defrauded ECI investors.

Yaldo v. Airtouch Communications, State of Michigan, Wayne County, Case No. 99-909694-CP, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$32 million for defrauded consumers.

In re Infonet Services Corporation Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. CV 01-10456 NM, in which as Co-Lead Counsel, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg achieved a settlement of \$18 million.

In re Musicmaker.com Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 00-02018, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg was sole Lead Counsel for the Class and recovered in excess of \$13 million.

In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 98 Civ. 7530, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as sole Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$17 million.

In re Lason, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 99 76079, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg was Co-Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$13 million for defrauded Lason stockholders.

In re Inso Corp. Securities Litigation, USDC District of Massachusetts, Case No. 99 10193, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$12 million.

In re National TechTeam Securities Litigation USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 97-74587, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$11 million.

In re Ramp Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Northern District of California, Case No. C-00-3645 JCS, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of nearly \$7 million.

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg filed the initial landmark antitrust lawsuit against all of the major NASDAQ market makers and served on Plaintiffs' Counsel's Executive Committee in In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996 (RWS), MDL Docket No. 1023, which recovered \$900 million for investors in numerous heavily traded Nasdaq issues.

In addition, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg serves as Class Counsel in In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 98-7035 DDP, in which plaintiffs' Counsel achieved a \$184 million jury verdict after a complex six week trial in Los Angeles, California and later settled the case for \$83 million.

The firm currently serves as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in numerous securities fraud and consumer fraud actions throughout the United States, including, among others:

Shah v. Morgan Stanley Co.,
USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 03 Civ. 8761 (RJH)

Lapin v. Goldman Sachs,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 03-0850-KJD

In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Securities Litigation,

USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. 02-1510 CPS

In re Lumenis, Ltd. Securities Litigation,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No.02-CV-1989 DAB

Taft v. Ackermans (KPNQwest Securities Litigation),

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02-CV-07951

In re Amdocs Ltd. Securities Litigation,

USDC Eastern District of Missouri, Case No. 02CV950 HEA

In re Heritage Bond Litigation,

USDC Central District of California, Case No. 02-ML-1475-DT

Payne v. IT Group, Inc.,

USDC Western District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 02-1927

Oscar Private Equity Investments v. Holland (Allegiance Telecom Securities Litigation),

USDC Northern District of Texas, Case No. 3:-CV-2761-H

Winer Family Trust v. Queen (Pennexx Securities Litigation),

USDC Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:03-cv-04318 JP

In re ADC Telecommunications Inc. Securities Litigation,

USDC District of Minnesota, Case No. 03-1194 (JNE/JGL)

Ree v. Procom Technologies, Inc.,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02CV7613

Capri v. Comerica, Inc.,

USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 02CV60211 MOB

Porter v. Consecro, Inc.,

USDC Southern District of Indiana, Case No. 02-1332 SEB

In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Litigation,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 99 Civ 9425

Tatz v. Nanophase Technologies Corp.,
USDC Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 01C8440

Plumbing Solutions Inc. v. Plug Power, Inc.,
USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. CV 00 5553 (ERK) (RML)

In re Simon Transportation Services, Inc. Securities Litigation,
USDC District of Utah, Case No. 2:98 CV 0863 K

The firm has also recently acted as Class Counsel in obtaining substantial benefits for shareholders in a number of actions, including:

In re F & M Distributors Securities Litigation,
Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 95 CV 71778 DT (Executive Committee Member) (\$20.25 million settlement)

James F. Schofield v. McNeil Partners, L.P. Securities Litigation,
California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 133799

Resources High Equity Securities Litigation,
California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 080254

The firm has served and currently serves as Class Counsel in a number of antitrust class actions, including:

In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation,
USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996 (RWS), MDL Docket No. 1023

In re Brand Name Prescription Drug Antitrust Litigation,
USDC Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Case No. 94 C 897

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP has been responsible for obtaining favorable appellate opinions which have broken new ground in the class action or securities fields or which have promoted shareholder rights in prosecuting these actions. Glancy Binkow & Goldberg successfully argued the appeals in Silber v. Mabon I, 957 F.2d 697 (9th Cir. 1992) and Silber v. Mabon II, 18 F.3d 1449 (9th Cir. 1994), which are the leading decisions in the Ninth Circuit regarding the rights of opt-outs in class action settlements. In Rothman v. Gregor, 220 F.3d 81 (2d Cir. 2000), Glancy Binkow & Goldberg won a seminal victory for investors before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which adopted a more favorable pleading standard for investors in reversing the District Court's dismissal of the investors' complaint. After this successful appeal, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg then recovered millions of dollars for defrauded investors of the GT Interactive Corporation. The firm also argued Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 309 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2002), *as amended*, 320 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2003) and favorably obtained the substantial reversal of a

lower court's dismissal of a cutting edge, complex class action brought to seek redress for a group of employees whose stock options were improperly forfeited by a giant corporation in the course of its sale of the subsidiary at which they worked. The revived action is currently proceeding in the California state court system.

The firm is also involved in the representation of individual investors in court proceedings throughout the United States and in arbitrations before the American Arbitration Association, National Association of Securities Dealers, New York Stock Exchange, and Pacific Stock Exchange. Mr. Glancy has successfully represented litigants in proceedings against such major securities firms and insurance companies as A.G. Edwards & Sons, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch & Co., Morgan Stanley, PaineWebber, Prudential, and Shearson Lehman Brothers.

One of firm's unique skills is the use of "group litigation" - the representation of groups of individuals who have been collectively victimized or defrauded by large institutions. This type of litigation brought on behalf of individuals who have been similarly damaged often provides an efficient and effective economic remedy that frequently has advantages over the class action or individual action devices. The firm has successfully achieved results for groups of individuals in cases against major corporations such as Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP currently consists of the following attorneys:

THE FIRM'S PARTNERS

LIONEL Z. GLANCY, a graduate of the University of Michigan Law School, is the founding partner of the firm. After serving as a law clerk for United States District Judge Howard McKibben, he began his career as an associate at Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP concentrating in securities litigation. Thereafter, he started a boutique law firm specializing in securities litigation, and other complex litigation, from the Plaintiff's perspective. Mr. Glancy has established a distinguished career in the field of securities litigation over the last fifteen years, appearing as lead counsel on behalf of aggrieved investors in securities class action cases throughout the country. He has appeared and argued before dozens of district courts and several appellate courts, and has recovered billions of dollars in settlement proceeds for large classes of shareholders. Well known in securities law, he has lectured on its developments and practice at CLE seminars and law schools.

PETER A. BINKOW, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, was born in Detroit, Michigan on August 16, 1965. Mr. Binkow earned his degree in English Literature from the University of Michigan in 1988 and attended law school at the University of Southern California (J.D., 1994). Mr. Binkow joined the Law Offices of Lionel Z. Glancy upon graduation and became a partner in 2002.

Mr. Binkow has prosecuted lawsuits on behalf of consumers and investors in state and federal courts throughout the United States. He served as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in many class action cases, including In re Heritage Bond Litigation (\$28 million recovery), In re National Techteam Securities Litigation (\$11 million recovery), In re Credit Acceptance Corporation Securities Litigation (\$2.5 million recovery), In re Lason Inc. Securities Litigation (\$12.68 million recovery), In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation (\$17 million recovery) In re GT Interactive Securities Litigation (\$3 million recovery) and many others. Mr. Binkow has prepared and/or argued appeals before the Ninth Circuit, Sixth Circuit and Second Circuit Courts of Appeals.

Mr. Binkow is admitted to practice before the state of California, the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. He is a member of the Los Angeles County Bar Association and the American Bar Association.

MICHAEL GOLDBERG, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, specializes in federal securities, federal and state antitrust, and consumer fraud class action lawsuits. He has successfully litigated numerous cases which resulted in multi-million dollar recoveries for investors, consumers and businesses.

Mr. Goldberg was born in New York on April 27, 1966. He earned his B.A. degree in 1989 from Pitzer College - The Claremont Colleges, and his J.D. degree in 1996 from Thomas M. Cooley Law School. After graduation from law school, Mr. Goldberg joined the Law Offices of Lionel Z. Glancy and became a partner of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in 2003. He was admitted to both the California and Florida bars in 1997 and is admitted to practice in numerous courts.

SUSAN G. KUPFER, the partner resident in the San Francisco office of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, joined the firm in 2003. She is a native of New York City and received her A.B. degree from Mount Holyoke College in 1969 and her J.D. from Boston University School of Law in 1973. She did graduate work at Harvard Law School and, in 1977, was named Assistant Dean and Director of Clinical Programs at Harvard, supervising and teaching in that program of legal practice and related academic components.

For much of her legal career, she has been a professor of law. She has taught at Hastings College of the Law, Boston University School of Law, Golden Gate University School of Law and Northeastern University School of Law. Since 1991, she has been a lecturer on law at University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall, teaching Civil Procedure and Conflict of Laws. Her areas of academic expertise are Civil Procedure, Federal Courts, Conflict of Laws, Constitutional Law, Legal Ethics and Jurisprudence. Her publications include articles on federal civil rights litigation, legal ethics and jurisprudence. She has also taught various aspects of practical legal and ethical training, including trial advocacy, negotiation and legal ethics, to both law students and practicing attorneys.

She previously served as corporate counsel to The Architects Collaborative in Cambridge and San Francisco and was the executive director of the Massachusetts Commission on Judicial Conduct. She returned to the practice of law in San Francisco with Morgenstein & Jubelirer and Berman DeValerio

Pease Tabacco Burt & Pucillo before joining Glancy Binkow & Goldberg. Her practice is concentrated in antitrust, securities and consumer complex litigation. She has been a member of the lead counsel team that achieved significant settlements in the following cases: In re Sorbates Antitrust Litigation (\$96.5 million settlement), In re Pillar Point Partners Antitrust Litigation (\$50 million settlement), In re Critical Path Securities Litigation (\$17.5 million settlement), In re New Era of Networks II Securities Litigation (\$5 million settlement).

She is a member of the Massachusetts and California State Bars and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern districts of California, the District of Massachusetts, the First and Ninth Circuits Courts of Appeal and the U.S. Supreme Court.

OF COUNSEL

ROBIN BRONZAFT HOWALD, a native of Brooklyn, New York, returned home in 2001 to open the firm's New York City office. Ms. Howald graduated *magna cum laude* from Barnard College in 1980, with a B.A. in psychology. In 1983, she received her J.D. from Stanford Law School, where she served as an Articles Editor for the Stanford Law Review. In addition to her current focus upon securities fraud and consumer class action matters, during her 20-year career Ms. Howald has handled cases in many different practice areas, including commercial disputes, professional malpractice, wrongful termination, bankruptcy, patent and construction matters. As outside counsel for the City of Torrance, California, she also handled a number of civil rights and land use matters, as well as a ground-breaking environmental action concerning Mobil Oil's Torrance refinery. Ms. Howald has experience in pre-trial and trial procedure and has successfully prosecuted post-trial motions and appeals.

Mrs. Howald is a member of the bar of both California (1983) and New York (1995), and is admitted to practice in all federal judicial districts in California, the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Supreme Court. She co-authored "Potential Tort Liability in Business Takeovers" (California Lawyer, September 1986), was a speaker and contributing author at the Eighth Annual Current Environmental and Natural Resources Issues Seminar at the University of Kentucky College of Law (April 1991), and served as a Judge Pro Tem for the Los Angeles County Small Claims Court (1996-1997). Married in 1985, Mrs. Howald and her husband have two sons. An avid runner, Mrs. Howald has completed six marathons.

NEAL A. DUBLINSKY was born in Flushing, New York on January 15, 1963. He earned his undergraduate degree from Yeshiva University in 1984, graduating *summa cum laude*, (highest-ranking graduate of his class) and was the recipient of the Dean Isaac Bacon Award for Excellence in the Humanities. Mr. Dublinsky earned his J.D. from New York University School of Law in 1987 where he participated in the Consumer Protection Clinical Program under renowned Professor Anthony G. Amsterdam. Mr. Dublinsky was admitted to the state bar of California in 1988.

Mr. Dublinsky played a strong part in the Firm's successful resolution of the aforementioned matters of In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 98

Civ. 7530 and In re Lason, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 99 76079. The published opinions in which Mr. Dublinsky has played a primary role include: City of Sterling Heights Police and Fire Retirement System v. Abbey Nat., PLC, --- F.Supp.2d ----, 2006 WL 846261 (S.D.N.Y., Mar 31, 2006) (NO. 05 CIV. 2141 (DC)); Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 309 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2002), *as amended*, 320 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2003); Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 132 Cal.App.4th 499, 33 Cal.Rptr.3d 724 (Cal.App. 2005), *reh. den.* (Sep 27, 2005), *rev. den.* (Nov 30, 2005), and; Mirpuri v. ACT Mfg., Inc., 212 F.3d 624 (1st Cir. 2000). In addition, he played a primary role in Caprin v. Simon Transportation Services, Inc., 99 Fed.Appx. 150 (not selected for publication), 2004 WL 326995 (10th Cir. 2004). He also was an important participant in Rothman v. Gregor, 220 F.3d 81 (2nd Cir. 2000) and Shah v. Meeker, 435 F.3d 244 (2nd Cir. 2006).

KEVIN F. RUF was born in Wilmington, Delaware on December 7, 1961. Mr. Ruf graduated from the University of California at Berkeley in 1984 with a B.A. in Economics and earned his J.D. from the University of Michigan in 1987. Mr. Ruf was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1988. Mr. Ruf was an associate at the Los Angeles firm Manatt Phelps and Phillips from 1988 until 1992, where he specialized in commercial litigation. He was of counsel to the Los Angeles firm Corbin & Fitzgerald from 1993 until 2001 where he specialized in white collar criminal defense work, including matters related to National Medical Enterprises, Cynergy Film Productions and the Estate of Doris Duke. Mr. Ruf has extensive trial experience, including jury trials, and considers his courtroom skills to be his strongest asset as a litigator. In his spare time, Mr. Ruf is an actor and comic. He is a full member of the world-famous Groundlings Theatre and has appeared in a number of television shows and films, including "Seinfeld," "Friends," "Spin City," and "Curb Your Enthusiasm."

ROBERT A. ZABB attended Yale University and Columbia Law School, and received his B.A. in 1975 and his J.D. in 1979. Mr. Zabb is admitted to practice in California, New York and Massachusetts, in state and federal courts in those jurisdictions. His practice has consisted of general business litigation with a specialization in federal securities litigation on the plaintiff and defense sides. He has practiced actively in the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, which are important centers for securities litigation. Mr. Zabb's accomplishments are reflected in numerous reported case decisions, particularly in the cases known as SEC v. Thrasher (Southern District of New York) and In re MTC Securities Litigation (Eastern District of New York). Mr. Zabb has had the privilege of arguing a case before the U.S. Supreme Court. This was a securities case delineating the permissible scope of a private right of action, and is known as Employers Insurance of Wausau v. Musick, Peeler and Garrett.

SYLVIE KULKIN KERN has been a commercial litigator for twenty years. She began her legal career as a law clerk to Justice John Holmdahl of the California Court of Appeal, First District, and then practiced law in San Francisco at Severson, Werson, Berke & Melchior (1985-1988); Brobeck Phleger & Harrison (1988-1993); and Morrison & Foerster LLP (1995-2004), where she was of counsel on the firm's securities litigation team. She joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP in 2005. In addition to her work in the securities field and her current focus on antitrust litigation, Ms. Kern has handled numerous complex commercial cases in state and federal court in the transportation, insurance, banking, real estate, and telecommunications industries, at both the law and motion and appellate stages. Born and raised in Nice,

France, Ms. Kern moved to Los Angeles with her family in 1962. She graduated from the University of California at Los Angeles, *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa, in 1973, with dual degrees in French and Political Science. She obtained her master's degree from the Johns Hopkins School of Advanced International Studies in 1975, and a law degree from Hastings College of the Law, where she served on the Hastings International and Comparative Law Review, in 1983. Prior to attending law school, Ms. Kern served as a Foreign Service officer with the United States Agency for International Development, managing foreign aid programs in El Salvador and Haiti. She speaks French, Spanish and Italian, and has lived or traveled in over thirty countries.

FREDERICK W. GERKENS, III, an of counsel to Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP, graduated from Fordham University Law School (*cum laude*, 1997) with an LL.M. in Corporate, Banking, and Finance law. Mr. Gerkens received his J.D. degree from New York Law School (*cum laude*, 1995) and an M.B.A. from Temple University (1978). Mr. Gerkens received his undergraduate degree from Temple University (B.A. Psychology, *cum laude*) in 1975. Mr. Gerkens also is a Certified Public Accountant (New York, 1980).

Since graduating law school, Mr. Gerkens was employed at the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Division of Market Regulation, and thereafter practiced principally in securities class actions, complex commercial litigation, and employment law and was a partner at another prominent class action litigation firm.

Prior to law school, Mr. Gerkens was employed at a major Wall Street investment firm as director of financial reporting and manager of regulatory reporting (1985-1992). Prior to that, Mr. Gerkens was an auditor employed by recognized public accounting firms, with mostly financial institution clientele (1978-1985).

Mr. Gerkens is a member of the American Bar Association (Litigation Section), the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Mr. Gerkens played a prominent role in prosecuting several securities class actions to successful conclusion, resulting in settlements to the respective shareholder classes, including *In re BankOne Shareholders Litig.*, No. 00-CV-0880 (N.D. Ill.); *In re UNUMProvident Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-CV-301 (D. Me.); *In re Allied Products Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-CV-3597 (N.D. Ill.); *In re Laidlaw Stockholders Litig.*, No. 3:00-CV-0855-17 (D.S.C.); *In re Alliance Pharm. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-CV-1674 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Warnaco Group, Inc. Sec. Litig. (II)*, No. 01-CV-3346 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Rediff Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-CV-3020 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Abercrombie & Fitch Co. Sec. Litig.*, M21-83 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Global Crossing, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-CV-0910 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Amazon.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-01-0358-L (W.D. Wash.); *In re Harnischfeger, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Nos. 98-C-0524, 99-C-0598 (E.D. Wis.); *In re American Bank Note Holographics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-C-0598 (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Avista Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-02-0328 (E.D. Wash.).

Mr. Gerkens is admitted to practice in New York (1995), the United States Supreme Court, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

MICHAEL B. ACKERMAN was born in Brooklyn, New York on June 30, 1962. He received his Bachelor of Arts from Columbia University in 1984 and attended Fordham University Law School (J.D. 1987). Mr. Ackerman was admitted to the New York bar in 1989 and the California bar in 1990. Mr. Ackerman is a member of the American Bar Association, the Los Angeles County Bar Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

BRADLEY J. HILLIS graduated from the University of Washington School of Law (J.D., 1988), where he was a member of Moot Court Honor Board. He received a B.A. from The Colorado College and M.A. in history from the University of Washington. Mr. Hillis is a member of the bars of New York, Massachusetts and Washington State, the U.S. District Courts for the Western District of Washington and Massachusetts, and the U.S. Courts of Appeal for the First and Ninth Circuits. He is the author of "Electronic Court Filing and the Internet," (The Journal of Appellate Practice and Process, volume 2:2, Winter 2000). He has previously worked as a deputy prosecuting attorney for the King County Prosecutor's Office, in Seattle, Washington, and was a member of the Advisory Board of Findlaw.com.

ILANA KOHN was born in Encino, California, on October 7, 1967. She earned her undergraduate degree from Mills College in 1993, with honors, and her J.D. from the University of San Francisco School of Law in 1999, with honors. Ms. Kohn was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1999, and is admitted to practice before the Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California. Ms. Kohn specializes in class actions on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers. Prior to her class action work, Ms. Kohn was an associate in the San Francisco office of Schnader Harrison Segal and Lewis, where she specialized in commercial litigation. Since joining the firm in 2003, Ms. Kohn has been involved in the prosecution of the Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, a coordinated proceeding of over 300 class action lawsuits based on market manipulation in initial public offerings during the high technology boom of the late 90s.

JALA AMSELLEM has been engaged in the private practice of civil litigation for over ten years. She has handled a broad variety of cases in the areas of corporate commercial, family law, personal injury and entertainment litigation. Jala is also a former legal writing professor who taught legal skills for twelve years. In her last academic position she was the Associate Director of the legal writing program at The George Washington School of Law. Recently, Jala founded The Bar Coach, a company dedicated to assisting bar takers pass the California Bar Exam.

Jala received her undergraduate degree from New York University in 1982 and her J.D. from Touro Law School in 1985. At Touro, Jala was the Senior Editor of the law review. Jala is admitted to the bars of California, New York, New Jersey, Michigan and the District of Columbia.

KATHARINE A. KATES, Of Counsel to the Firm in San Francisco, graduated from Hastings College of the law (1991, with honors) where she was a member of the Hastings Law Journal. She received a B.A. (1985, with high honors) and an M.A. (1988) in the History of Art from the University of California, Berkeley. After obtaining her law degree, Ms. Kates practiced at Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal in San Francisco. Ms. Kates was a staff attorney at the United States District Court for the Central District of California, then joined the Los Angeles litigation boutique O'Neill Lysaght & Sun and later, Murphy Rosen & Cohen. Prior to focusing on antitrust litigation, Ms. Kates' experience included complex commercial litigation and white collar criminal defense, with a particular emphasis on securities matters.

ASSOCIATES

MARC L. GODINO has extensive experience successfully litigating complex, class action lawsuits as a plaintiffs' lawyer. Mr. Godino has played a primary role in cases resulting in settlements of more than \$100 million. He has prosecuted securities, derivative, ERISA, and consumer cases throughout the country in both State and Federal court as well as represented defrauded investors at NASD arbitrations.

While an associate with Stull Stull & Brody, Mr. Godino was one of the two primary attorneys involved in Small v. Fritz Co., 30 Cal. 4th 167 (April 7, 2003) in which the California Supreme Court created new law in the state of California for shareholders that held shares in detrimental reliance on false statements made by corporate officers. The decision was widely covered by national media including The National Law Journal, Los Angeles Times, New York Times, and the New York Law Journal, among others and was heralded as a significant victory for shareholders.

Other published decisions include: In re 2TheMart.com Securities Litigation, 114 F.Supp 2d 955 (C.D.Cal. 2002); In re Irvine Sensors Securities Litigation, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18397 (C.D.Cal. 2003); Brown v. Computerized Thermal Imaging Inc., 2002 WL 31109563 (D.Or. 2002).

Mr. Godino received his undergraduate degree from Susquehanna University with a bachelor of science degree in Business Management. He received his J.D. from Whittier Law School in 1995.

Mr. Godino is admitted to practice before the state of California, the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the District of Colorado, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

DALE MacDIARMID is a native of Los Angeles, California. He holds a B.A. in Journalism (with Distinction) from the University of Hawaii, and a J.D. from Southwestern University School of Law, where he was member of the Board of Governors of the Interscholastic Trial Advocacy Honors Program. He is admitted to practice in California and before the United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Northern Districts of California. Dale is a member of Kappa Tau Alpha, the national journalism honor society, and before joining Glancy Binkow & Goldberg he was a writer and editor for newspapers and magazines in Honolulu and Los Angeles.

KARA M. WOLKE graduated summa cum laude with a B.S.B.A. in Economics from The Ohio State University in 2001. Kara earned her J.D. (with honors) from Ohio State in May, 2005, where she was active in Moot Court and received the Dean's Award for Excellence for each of her three years. In 2005, she was a finalist in a national writing competition co-sponsored by the American Bar Association and the Grammy® Foundation. (7 Vand. J. Ent. L. & Prac. 411). Kara joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in the fall of 2005 and was admitted to the State Bar of California in January, 2006.

ANDY SOHRN joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP in 2006. He was admitted to the California Bar in January 2006 after receiving his J.D. from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law in May 2005. While attending law school, Andy was the Managing Editor of the Pacific Basin Law Journal, participated in Moot Court and was a Teaching Assistant for the Lawyering Skills program. He also holds a B.A. in Economics and Mathematics from Yale University (class of 2002).

EXHIBIT E

THE LAW OFFICE OF JACOB SABO

The Tower No. 3 Daniel Frisch Street 15th Floor

Tel Aviv, Israel 64731

Telephone: 011 972 3 607 88 88

Facsimile: 011 972 3 607 88 89

The Law Office of Jacob Sabo is a well known Israeli Law firm with a reputation for representing investors and consumers in Israeli and in the United States courts for over twenty years. Based in Tel-Aviv, Israel and with collaboration with leading US firms throughout the United States, the Law Office Of Jacob Sabo has developed expertise prosecuting securities fraud, antitrust and complex commercial litigation. As Lead Counsel or as a member of Plaintiffs' Counsel Executive Committees, the Law Office Of Jacob Sabo has recovered considerable amounts for parties wronged by corporate fraud and malfeasance, in both the United States and Israeli jurisdictions. The firm's efforts on behalf of individual investors have been the subject of several articles in Israeli press. In calendar year 2003, Attorney Jacob Sabo was declared the Leading Class Action Attorney of that year by *Globes*, Israel's leading business newspaper.

Supporting complex litigation as either co-Lead Counsel or as liaison to Lead or Co-Lead Counsel, in matters relating to Israeli corporations, Attorney Jacob Sabo has achieved significant recoveries for class members, including:

In re ECI Telecom Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern Virginia Civil Action No. 01-913-A, in which Jacob Sabo served as counsel to Glancy & Binkow LLP and assisted in the recovery of almost \$22 million for defrauded ECI investors.

In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern New York 98 Civ. 7530, a securities fraud class action in which Jacob Sabo served as member of the Executive committee of Lead counsels and assisted in achieving a settlement valued in excess of \$17 million.

In re Nice Systems Securities Litigation, USDC New Jersey 2.01 CV 737, in which Jacob Sabo supported Co-Lead Counsel's efforts and assisted in recovering \$10 million for defrauded Nice Systems Ltd.'s U.S. stockholders. This amount is the U.S. leg of a similar litigation in Israel in which Jacob Sabo assisted in achieving a recovery of \$ 5 million for defrauded Nice Systems Ltd.'s Israeli stockholders.

Jacob Sabo currently serves as Co-Lead Counsel or supporting Lead or co-Lead counsels in numerous securities fraud actions throughout the United States, including, among others:

In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Sec. Litig.,
USDC Eastern New York, Case No. 02-1510 CPS

In re Lumenis, Ltd. Sec. Litig.,
USDC Southern New York, Case No.02-CV-1989 DAB

In re Amdocs Ltd. Sec. Litig.,
USDC Eastern Missouri, Case No. 02CV950 HEA

Leumi Gemel Ltd. v. ECtel Ltd., et al.,
USDC, District of Maryland, Case No. 04-CV-03380 RWT

In re TTI Securities Litigation,
USDC, District of New Jersey, Case No. 04-cv-4305 PGS

In re Bio-Technology General Corp. Securities Litigation,
USDC, District of New Jersey, Case No. 02cv06048

The Law office of Jacob Sabo is also involved in numerous Securities fraud litigations in Israeli Courts.

Jacob Sabo, the founding partner of the firm, was born in Tel-Aviv, Israel on March 13, 1953. Mr. Sabo earned his law degree (L.L.B) in 1980 from Tel-Aviv University. He also completed post-graduate studies at the New York University.

Mr. Sabo is a member of both the Israeli and New York Bar associations.

In Israel, he serves as member of several committees of the Israel Bar including the class action committee and the courts committee. He also chairs the committee of administrative tribunals and the American Bar Association